(3)
(1)
CH001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP NO. 3520 **/2003**

PETITIONER

R.K. Pandey, son of Shri L.B. Pandey, aged about 52 years, working as Senior Store Keeper, Hindustan Steel Works Construction Limited, Bhilai, resident of Mother Paresia Nagar, Camp No.2, Qtr No. 43/12, Bhilai (Chhattisgarh).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Hindustan Steel Construction Through Its Deputy General Manager, Hindustan Steel Construction Limited, New Delhi.

2. The Deputy General Manager, Hindustan Steel Construction Limited, Bhilai, Distt. Durg (CG)

3. The Deputy Manager (Pers.) (E & C), Hindustan Steel Construction Limited, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

P. R. No. 3320/03
Presented by Shri Faiz Hamid
dated 26.10.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT OR DIRECTION IN VIEW OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE,

सपथ आयुक्त

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3520/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06.02.2004 Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for the petitioner. Shri V.V.S.Murthy, counsel for the respondents. The petitioner has preferred this Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenging his transfer order dated 28.08.2003, whereby he has been transferred from Bhilai to Unit Incharge, Bhadrawati. The petitioner is working as a Senior Store Keeper at Hindustan Steel Construction Ltd., Bhilai. The petitioner's case is that the petitioner's wife is a patient since last 4 years and she is suffering from 3rd stage of cancer and her radiotherapy is being done regularly at Bhilai Steel Plant Hospital and she is regularly in need of some medical attendant and if the petitioner's transfer is allowed to be implemented then at Bhadrawati, there is no treatment facility of cancer patient and on account of this transfer, the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the petitioner's difficulty, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2, raising all his grievances within a period of 10 days and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation within a period of another 30 days considering all his grievances raised by the	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(20)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP. 3520/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

petitioner and pass a reasoned order and if the petitioner is not relieved, he should not be relieved till the representation is decided.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Consequently, M.W.P.No.3191/03 and I.A.No.10097 for urgent hearing disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/SG

[पीछे देखिये